UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/750,409	12/30/2003	Johanna Jacoba Maria Meulenberg	01-1793-4-C4	4880	
	75413 7590 08/19/2011 Michael P. Morris			EXAMINER	
Boehringer Ingelheim USA Corporation			HILL, MYRON G		
900 Ridgebury Road Ridgefield, CT 06877-0368			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1648		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/19/2011	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

USPTO.e-Office.rdg@boehringer-ingelheim.com

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/750,409	MEULENBERG ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	MYRON HILL	1648			
 The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply 	ears on the cover sheet wit	th the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TE OF THIS COMMUNIC 6(a). In no event, however, may a re ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON' cause the application to become AB.	CATION. sply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>26 Ma</u> This action is FINAL. 2b) ☐ This Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. ce except for formal matte	·			
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 21,22,24 and 32-37 is/are pending in the same state of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 21,22,24 and 32-37 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	n from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction and the original than the correction of the original than the origin	epted or b) objected to be drawing(s) be held in abeyand on is required if the drawing(ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment/a)					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 			

DETAILED ACTION

This action is in response to the papers filed 5/26/11.

This action is on claims 21, 22, 24, and 32-37.

Rejections Withdrawn

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

Claim 33 was rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Applicant deleted the rejected term and the rejection is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

⁽b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 21 and 24 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by Wensvoort *et al.* (WO 92/21375) as evidenced by Meulenberg *et al.* (J Virology 1998 Vol. 72, pages 380-387, from IDS, copy in parent application 09874626).

After further consideration of the rejection and response, the rejection is withdrawn.

Rejections Maintained

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 21, 22, and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wensvoort *et al.* (WO 92/21375), Moormann *et al.* (Journal of Virology 1996, Vol 70, pages 763-770).

Applicant argues there is nothing in Wensvoort *et al.* to teach or suggest or motivate one to make a full length infectious clone that includes SEQ ID# 18. Applicant argues that the prior art must be enabling and that claim 4 is not enabled. Applicant also argues that until the present invention, it was not known that SEQ ID# 18 was important.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and not found persuasive.

Wensvoort *et al.* in claim 4 describes a vector corresponding to the isolate deposit CNCMI-1102. This vector corresponds to the infectious agent, not the sequence

disclosed in Wensvoort *et al.* and the virus itself comprises SEQ ID# 18 on the 5 prime terminus as indicated above.

As discussed above and previously, the prior art makes it clear that full length clones are needed and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make full length clones. Moormann *et al.*, as discussed previously, teach the need to make full length clones.

The fact that Wensvoort *et al.* does not teach SEQ ID# 18 does not teach away from a full length clone. One of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention would have been motivated to make a full length clone of isolate deposit CNCMI-1102 because of the teaching of Wensvoort *et al.* disclose it (in claim 4).

The use of inherent features not disclosed in the prior at but present as shown by the examiner do not teach away from the claimed invention and applicant's use of unrealized properties does not add to the patentability of claims.

Thus, the claims are unpatentable over Wensvoort et al. and Moormann et al.

Claims 32-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wensvoort *et al.* (WO 92/21375), and Moormann *et al.* (Journal of Virology 1996, Vol 70, pages 763-770) as applied to claims 21, 22, and 24, further in view of Drew *et al.*

Applicant argues this rejection in combination with the preceding rejection.

Applicant's arguments have been fully considered and not found persuasive.

Applicant's arguments were responded to above and there appear to be no specific arguments related to Drew et al.

The rejection is maintained.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MYRON HILL whose telephone number is (571)272-0901. The examiner can normally be reached on Tues, Thurs, and flex.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Zachariah Lucas can be reached on 571-272-0905. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/750,409 Page 6

Art Unit: 1648

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Mary E. Mosher/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1648

/M. G. H./ Examiner, Art Unit 1648